

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,146	10/12/2001	Jen-Fu Lee	LEEJ3013/EM/7261	8894	
23364 7	590 11/03/2004		EXAMINER		
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			KRAMER,	KRAMER, JAMES A	
625 SLATERS	LANE				
FOURTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3627		
	•		DATE MAIL ED. 11/02/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comment	09/975,146	LEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	James A. Kramer	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/975,146

Art Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim(s) 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim(s) are directed to neither a "process" nor a "machine," but rather embraces or overlaps two different statutory classes of invention. See MPEP §2173.05(p) II or *Ex Parte Lyell*, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (B.P.A.I., 1990).

The Examiner respectfully requests Applicants to expressly state whether they intend to claim a product claim (inventory management system) or a process claim. It appears Applicants are claiming a medium (a product claim placing it within the §101 category of a machine) and yet are still attempting to claim a method or process claim ("the method comprising").

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Examiner specifically notes that while it is unclear whether the claims are directed to a machine or process, they will be interpreted as process claims for this action.

In addition, Examiner notes that the limitation "preparing component parts" on line 4 of Claim 1, renders the claims unclear. In particular, Examiner does not understand how exactly the parts are prepared. Furthermore, the specification does not shed light on to this matter by describing how the component parts are "prepared".

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rush et al.

Rush et al. teaches a MRP system with viewable master production schedule. Rush et al. teach the primary objective of an MRP system is to generate a schedule of net requirements and planned order releases for the component parts and materials that are needed to satisfy the end-product demand represented by a master production schedule (column 1; lines 15-20).

The system of Rush includes means for storing information regarding items. In general, an item is any individually tracked product, component or subcomponent (column 2; lines 54-57).

Rush et al. further detail the systems ability to handle made-to-order and made-to-stock (e.g. column 2; lines 64-67). Examiner notes that this represents future demand versus actual orders.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,146

Art Unit: 3627

Rush et al. also teaches "bills of material explosion" as a calculation of the quantity required for every component on a bill of material based on quantity per times the gross requirement of the parent (column 4; lines 24-26).

Rush et al. further teaches that the MRP Pass Through determines how demand, forecast and Master Schedule Qty. will be used to yield the final demand (column 6; line 66 – column 67; line 1).

Rush et al. also teaches in one embodiment of the invention, the inventory on-hand field is stored not in the item master extension record, but in the item master file. Examiner notes an inventory on-hand field represents a database record of actual inventory, which can only be determined by deleting from this record an item as it is used or leaves the inventory.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (703) 305-5241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (703) 305-4716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,146 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James A. Kramer Examiner Art Unit 3627

jak

Richard Chilcot
Patent Examinar
Fechnology Center 2007)